Contrastive topic marking in Wenzhounese Wu noun phrases

金大衛、陳煌煌 上海交通大學 人文學院

This paper proposes that the Wenzhounese (Southern Wu-Oujiang subgroup) sentence-medial affix $-\eta i$ is a dedicated contrastive topic (CT) marker. The diagnostics in (1) lend support to $-\eta i$'s CT-marker status, following standard definitions of CTs, in which speakers address an overall question by partitioning it into subquestions (Büring 2003; 2014; Tomioka 2006): In (1a), $-\eta i$ resists an exhaustive focus reading. In (1b), it resists maximal elements (in contrast to non-maximal elements). In (1c-d), $-\eta i$ marks a hypothetical if-clause, while incompatible with a factual if-clause.

(1) a. [Question: who said this?]
ləsi-(*ni) kuo gi .
teacher-(*CT) said DECL.PRT
'[The teacher] foc said this.'

b. {doubuvanzigy -ni/?anizigy-(*ni)}, o ci-naba. {most.thing -CT/any.thing-(*CT)}, all very-hard

'As for most things, they are pretty hard to do.'?? As for all things, they are pretty hard to do.'

c. ni teanai huo-ni, ni you fu vai yan kai peisei. you if be.tired-CT, you then not will win this game 'If you're tired, then you won't win this game.'

d. [A: I do not feel well today. B utters the following]

ni teanai fu sivu-(*ni), funi you ga ni pogmi ba. you if not be.well-(*CT), we then another day meet.up DECL.PRT

'If you aren't feeling well, let's meet up another day.'

Assuming that CTs denote alternatives corresponding to subquestions of a prior overall question, they necessarily resist an exhaustive focus, which provides a complete answer and disallows the overall question to be partitioned. CTs similarly resist maximal elements (e.g. all-NPs), since the latter lacks contrasting alternatives. Hypothetical conditionals are CT-compatible, as they denote contrasting sets of possible worlds (Iatridou 1991), each corresponding to a hypothetical situation (if situation A, then...; if situation B, then...). Factual conditionals, in contrast, don't plausibly invite contrasting scenarios (it's already established that speaker A isn't feeling well, hence no contrasting question to be addressed about what if speaker A is feeling well, etc.).

A plethora of studies have shown that the CT operator in East Asian languages tends to be overtly realized by bound/affixal particles attached to topic phrases (Hara 2006; Heycock 2008; Tomioka 2014). Against this landscape, we argue Wenzhounese differs from Japanese/Korean in that Wenzhounese $-\eta i$ exclusively marks CTs, and doesn't mark non-contrastive thematic topics (example 2). Contrarily, contrastive and thematic topics are subsumed under the same marker in Japanese/Korean (e.g. Japanese -wa marking).

- (2) a. ?ama maini o khø tsə ci. ?aba-ni tsaitsai thauyitchon. (contrastive) mom every.day all sleep early very. dad-CT, often stay.up
 - '(As for) mom, she sleeps early every day. (As for) dad, he often stays up late.'
 b. konkuotshø maini o zaiditeyo tən. ?aba-(*ni) tsaitsai teho kai konkuotshø. (thematic) bus every.day all ten stop.by.dad-(*CT) often take that bus

'The bus stops by at ten every day. Dad, he often takes that bus.'

 $-\eta i$'s failure to mark thematic topics patterns with Mandarin CT-marking affix -ne when occurring sentence-medially (Constant 2012; 2014). We observe that Wenzhounese $-\eta i$ further differs from Mandarin -ne in that the former doesn't appear in sentence-final positions, whereas -ne independently functions as a sentence-final progressive aspect marker and clause typing operator. We thus identify Wenzhounese as a Sinitic language to feature an exclusive CT marker.

References (selected) [1] <u>Büring. 2003</u>. On D-trees, beans and B-accents. *Linguistics & Philosophy*. [2] <u>Büring. 2014</u>. (Contrastive) Topic. *The Oxford Handbook of Information Structure*. [3] <u>Constant. 2014</u>. Contrastive topic: meanings and realizations. PhD thesis, UMass Amherst. [4] <u>Hara. 2006</u>. Japanese discourse items at interfaces. PhD thesis, University of Delaware. [5] <u>Heycock. 2008</u>. Japanese -wa,-ga, and Information Structure. *Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*. [6] <u>Tomioka. 2014</u>. Information Structure in Japanese. *The Oxford Handbook of Information Structure*.