

Peggy Mok

Department of Linguistics and Modern Languages, Chinese University of Hong Kong peggymok@cuhk.edu.hk

International Congress for the Study of Child Language (IASCL 2011)

Introduction

Linguists have long argued that languages belong to distinct rhythm classes

stress-timed: English, German

syllable-timed: French, Spanish

mora-timed: Japanese

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Rhythmic metrics

Bilingual patterns less separated than monolingual patterns

Results



- [1]
- Speech rhythm forms the prosodic cornerstone in early language acquisition, as newborn infants can distinguish languages based on their rhythms [2]
- Children have a bias towards syllable-timing because consonant clusters and vowel reduction are difficult to acquire
- Only few studies on the acquisition of speech rhythm

Rhythmic Metrics

No isochrony (units of equal duration) can be found acoustically Important phonological differences between stress- and syllable-timing [3]

	Stress-timed	Syllable-timed
	languages	languages
Word stress	Variable, complicated	simple
Syllable structure	complex	simple
Vowel reduction	frequent	infrequent



nPVIS



nPVIS

infrequent nequent vower reduction

- metrics based on durational variability Rhythmic were developed
 - **Δ**, %V, Varco (global variability) [4, 5]

PVI (local variability) [6]

English: stress-timed; Cantonese: syllable-timed [7]

Bilingual Acquisition of Speech Rhythm

- Monolingual children at age 3;0 already have different rhythmic patterns [8, 9, 10]
- Bilingual children have distinct patterns from monolinguals: rhythmic delay affected by language dominance
- Less language separation for younger bilingual children
- Rhythmic metrics based on syllable duration are more robust than those on consonant and vowel duration for young children

The present study

Can the observed differences between monolingual and

Stress patterns (duration of V1/V2)

A tendency for weaker trochaic pattern in bilingual speech

Child	Bilingual	Monolingual
1	1.09	1.08
2	1.34	1.32
3	1.30	1.27
4	0.94	1.22
5	0.95	1.57
AVG	1.11	1.29

Discussion

Monolinguals

- Already display distinct rhythmic patterns at 2;06 \rightarrow early separation of speech rhythm begins before 2;06
- A bias towards syllable-timing in younger children, especially evident in monolingual English between 2;06 and 3;0

Bilinguals

bilingual children be found at an even younger age (2;06)? [11]

Method

- 15 children aged ~2;06
 - 5 Cantonese-English bilingual
 - 5 Cantonese monolingual
 - 5 English monolingual
- At least 20 utterances for each language
- 4-9 syllables for each utterance (MLU 5.5)
- Rhythmic metrics on syllable, consonant and vowel duration
- Vowel duration of English trochaic disyllable words in sentence medial position (stress patterns)

- Rhythmic patterns of the two languages are more similar
- Weaker trochaic pattern in bilingual English, possibly influenced by Cantonese which has no lexical stress
- Increased Cantonese influence from 2;06 to 3;0
- Evidence for mutual influence between the two languages, supporting a distinct developmental path for bilingual
- More longitudinal rhythmic development of both monolingual and bilingual children are needed

Acknowledgement

This study is supported by the Direct Grant for Research 2007-2008, Second Round, Chinese University of Hong Kong

[1] Abercrombie, D. (1967). Elements of General Phonetics. Edinburgh: Edinburgh: University Press . [2] Nazzi, T., Bertoncini, J. & Mehler, J. (1998). Language discrimination by newborns: towards an understanding of the role of rhythm. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 24, 756-766. [3] Dauer, R. M. (1983). Stress-timing and syllable-timing reanalyzed. Journal of Phonetics, 11, 51-62. [4] Ramus, F., Nespor, M. & Mehler, J. (1999). Correlates of linguistic rhythm in the speech signal. Cognition, 73, 265-292. [5] Dellwo, V. (2006). Rhythm and speech rate: a variation coefficient for Δ C. In P. Karnowski & I. Szigeti (eds.), Language and the rhythm class hypothesis. In C. Gussenhoven & N. Warner (eds.), Laboratory Phonology VII, pp. 515-546. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. [7] Mok, P. P. K. (2009). On the syllable-timing of Cantonese and Beijing Mandarin. Chinese Journal of Phonetics, 2, 148-154. [8] Lleó, C., Rakow, M. & Kehoe, M. (2007). Acquiring rhythmically different languages in a bilingual context. Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS), pp. 1545-1548. Saarbrueken, Germany. [9] Bunta, F. & Ingram, D. (2007). The acquisition of speech rhythm by bilingual Spanish- and English-speaking 4- and 5-year-old children. Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research, 50 (4), 999-1014. [10] Mok, P. (in press) The acquisition of speech rhythm by three-year-old bilingual and monolingual children: Cantonese and English. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition. [11] Paradis, J. (2001). Do bilingual two-year-olds have separate phonological systems? The International Journal of Bilingualism, 5, 19-38.