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1 Introduction

1.1 The Centre for Quality of Life of The Chinese University of Hong Kong is the first centre specializing in quality of life research in Hong Kong and in different Chinese communities. One of our goals is to monitor and promote quality of life in Hong Kong through cross-disciplinary research, academic exchange activities, and cross-institutional collaboration.

1.2 Hong Kong undergoes drastic changes in political, economic, cultural and environmental aspects in the 21st century, and the quality of life of citizens in Hong Kong is being affected. It is timely to compile a composite index to monitor the quality of life in Hong Kong.

1.3 The CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index was designed in 2003 to serve as an objective and analytic tool to examine the quality of life in Hong Kong. The Index is released annually.

2 Objectives

It is the intention of the Centre to use this composite index to:

- measure and keep track of Hong Kong’s quality of life in the 21st century;
- engage relevant parties in promoting and advocating quality of life in Hong Kong;
- provide policy makers and the community with a useful reference tool; and
- advocate for the promotion of quality of life in Hong Kong and draw public attention to the issue of quality of life.

3 Composition of CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index

3.1 The CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index is a composite index. It consists of 21 indicators that are grouped into three sub-indices, viz. social, economic and environmental (Appendix 1).

3.2 The indicators are selected according to the coverage, measurability, representativeness, and importance to the quality of life in Hong Kong. Among the 21 indicators, six are compiled by the Centre, based on data collected by Faculty of Social Science of the University. These indicators are stress index, general life satisfaction index, press freedom index, press criticism index, government performance index and index of current economic conditions. All other indices are obtained from the government and related institutions (Appendix 1).

3.3 2002 was the base year of the study, and the CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index for that year was set at 100 (Appendix 3). If the Index of a subsequent year is above 100, it means that the quality of life in Hong Kong in that year is better than that of 2002. If the index is below 100, it reveals that the quality of life in Hong Kong in that year is worse than that of 2002. If the Index is 100, it indicates that the Hong Kong quality of life in that year is the same as that of 2002.
4 Major Findings of the CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index 2011

4.1 According to the **CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index of 2011**, the overall score in 2011 was 102.56, went down by 1.57 points from 2010 (104.13). The result indicates that the quality of life in Hong Kong declined in 2011. (Appendix 3).

4.2 Compared with the scores of 2010, only the environmental sub-index slightly improved in 2011, while the social sub-index and economic sub-index dropped. The economic sub-index even sank to its record low since 2002 (the base year) (Appendix 4). The result shows that the social and economic situation worsened in 2011.

4.3 As compared with the 2010 figures, 9 out of the 21 indicators worsened in 2011 (Appendix 5). They are:

1) Notification rate of notifiable infectious diseases (per 1000 population)
2) Stress index
3) General life satisfaction index
4) Press freedom index
5) Government performance index
6) Housing affordability ratio
7) Real rental index
8) Index of current economic conditions; and
9) Air index

The most notable drop being the housing affordability ratio (from -0.87 to -2.12), the lowest since the Index was launched. The result indicates that housing became significantly less affordable in 2011. The real rental index also dropped to a record low, which shows that tenants have to bear heavy rental burden. For the Government Performance Index, the scores dropped nearly 15% from 2010, which is only higher than the score of 2003.

4.4 Compared with the previous year, 10 indicators improved in 2011 (see Appendix 6):

1) Standardized mortality rate (per 1000 standard population)
2) Life expectancy at birth (in year)
3) Public expenditure on health as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP
4) Press criticism index
5) Overall crime rate (per 1000 population)
6) Unemployment rate
7) Real wage index
8) Age participation rate for first-degree programmes in local universities (in percent)
9) Water index
10) Noise index (per 1000 population); and
11) Recycle rate of municipal solid waste
Three indicators under the environmental sub-index went up, indicating that water quality, noise pollution and the recycle rate of solid waste had improved. Besides, public expenditure on education as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP remained unchanged (Appendix 3).

4.5 For more information on the **CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index**, please visit The Centre for Quality of Life website: [www.cuhk.edu.hk/ssc/qol](http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/ssc/qol).
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Appendix 1: Composition of and Data for Compiling the CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index

SOCIAL SUB-INDEX
1. Standardized mortality rate (per 1000 standard population)\(^{(1)}\) refers to the number of deaths per 1000 standard population.
2. Life expectancy at birth (in years) refers to the life expectancy at birth for males and females.
3. Public expenditure on health as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP.
4. Notification rate of notifiable infectious diseases (per 1000 population) refers to the number of notified infectious diseases per 1000 population.
5. Stress index refers to the stress that the Hong Kong people are facing (base rate = 100).
6. General life satisfaction index refers to the degree of satisfaction of Hong Kong people with their current lives (base rate = 100).
7. Press freedom index refers to the degree of press freedom in Hong Kong (base rate = 100).
8. Press criticism index refers to the frequency of criticisms by the press of the Hong Kong Corporation, the Hong Kong SAR Government, and the People’s Republic of China Government (base rate = 100).
9. Government performance index refers to the degree of satisfaction of Hong Kong people with the performance of Hong Kong SAR Government (base rate = 100).
10. Overall crime rate (per 1000 population) refers to the total number of violent and non-violent crimes reported per 1000 population.

ECONOMIC SUB-INDEX
11. Housing affordability ratio refers to the annual average of affordable property price (i.e. average property price times 39.9 sq. feet, divided by monthly medium income times 12).
12. Real rental index refers to the real rental index for the Hong Kong property market.
13. Unemployment rate refers to the seasonally adjusted unemployment rate (in percent).
14. Index of current economic conditions refers to the public attitude towards economic conditions in Hong Kong.
15. Real wage index\(^{(2)}\) refers to the real wages for employees up to supervisory level (excluding managerial and professional employees) in all selected industry sectors.
16. Public expenditure on education as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP.
17. Age participation rate for first-degree programmes in local universities (in percent).

ENVIRONMENTAL SUB-INDEX
18. Air index refers to the daily air pollution index.
19. Water index refers to the percentage of rivers in Hong Kong categorized as ‘good’ and ‘excellent’ by the monitoring station.
20. Noise index (per 1000 population) refers to the number of noise complaints received by the Environmental Protection Department and the Police per 1000 population.
21. Recycle rate of municipal solid waste refers to the recycle rate (in percent) of solid waste from households and from commercial and industrial sources.

Notes:
\(^{(1)}\) Standardized mortality rate is compiled based on the world standard population published in the 1997-1999 World Health Statistics Annual.
\(^{(2)}\) The real wage index is derived by deflating the nominal wage indices by the CPI(A).

Sources:
Stress index, general life satisfaction index, press freedom index, press criticism index, government performance index and index of current economic conditions are compiled by the Faculty of Social Science of The Chinese University of Hong Kong. All other indices are obtained from the government and related institutions.
### Appendix 2: Data for Compiling the CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index

#### Social sub-index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Standardized mortality rate (per 1000 standard population)</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Life expectancy at birth (in years)</td>
<td>F : 84.5</td>
<td>F : 84.3</td>
<td>F : 84.7</td>
<td>F : 84.6</td>
<td>F : 85.5</td>
<td>F : 85.5</td>
<td>F : 85.9</td>
<td>F : 86.0</td>
<td>F : 86.7#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M : 78.6</td>
<td>M : 78.5</td>
<td>M : 79</td>
<td>M : 78.8</td>
<td>M : 79.4</td>
<td>M : 79.3</td>
<td>M : 79.7</td>
<td>M : 80.1</td>
<td>M : 80.5#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Public expenditure on health as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Notification rate of notifiable infectious diseases (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stress index</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>88.75</td>
<td>79.51</td>
<td>72.95</td>
<td>74.42</td>
<td>72.91</td>
<td>80.36</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>75.53</td>
<td>77.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. General life satisfaction index</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.68</td>
<td>105.62</td>
<td>109.94</td>
<td>112.47</td>
<td>110.22</td>
<td>107.57</td>
<td>111.66</td>
<td>111.46</td>
<td>109.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Press freedom index</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>101.22</td>
<td>100.26</td>
<td>101.43</td>
<td>101.55</td>
<td>103.92</td>
<td>103.64</td>
<td>103.54</td>
<td>102.30</td>
<td>101.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Press criticism index</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>103.75</td>
<td>91.02</td>
<td>78.82</td>
<td>77.25</td>
<td>81.86</td>
<td>83.65</td>
<td>79.15</td>
<td>83.10</td>
<td>86.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Government performance index</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>103.23</td>
<td>132.23</td>
<td>169.79</td>
<td>176.29</td>
<td>183.48</td>
<td>130.48</td>
<td>145.10</td>
<td>138.62</td>
<td>118.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Overall crime rate (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Economic sub-index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Housing affordability ratio</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>11.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Real rental index</td>
<td>87.97</td>
<td>79.67</td>
<td>84.55</td>
<td>93.11</td>
<td>96.68</td>
<td>105.27</td>
<td>114.54</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>115.38</td>
<td>122.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Index of current economic conditions</td>
<td>76.55</td>
<td>81.32</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>109.75</td>
<td>109.48</td>
<td>116.70</td>
<td>81.55</td>
<td>83.40</td>
<td>96.22</td>
<td>88.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Real wage index</td>
<td>117.53</td>
<td>117.70</td>
<td>116.35</td>
<td>115.78</td>
<td>115.48</td>
<td>116.08</td>
<td>116.68</td>
<td>116.30</td>
<td>115.45</td>
<td>118.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Public expenditure on education as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Age participation rate for first-degree programmes in local universities (in percent)</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>17.70</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>19.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Environmental sub-index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Air index</td>
<td>46.90</td>
<td>49.93</td>
<td>49.32</td>
<td>45.10</td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>45.77</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>42.26</td>
<td>42.78</td>
<td>44.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Water index</td>
<td>74.40</td>
<td>75.60</td>
<td>78.30</td>
<td>80.80</td>
<td>80.80</td>
<td>80.70</td>
<td>81.70</td>
<td>81.40</td>
<td>84.20</td>
<td>87.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Noise index (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Recycle rate of municipal solid waste</td>
<td>36.30</td>
<td>40.82</td>
<td>40.35</td>
<td>43.09</td>
<td>45.59</td>
<td>44.96</td>
<td>47.58</td>
<td>49.30</td>
<td>51.95</td>
<td>53.65*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Forecasted figure
# Provisional figure
Revised figure

---

**Appendix 3: CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index**

Note:

1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social sub-index</th>
<th>2002 Base year</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011 compared with 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Standardized mortality rate (per 1000 standard population)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.4# Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Life expectancy at birth (in year)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>4.88# Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public expenditure on health as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>4.05 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Notification rate of notifiable infectious diseases (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.58 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Stress index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.83 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. General life satisfaction index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.19 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Press freedom index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>4.85 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Press criticism index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.11 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Overall crime rate (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.97 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social sub-index</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>49.44</td>
<td>50.65</td>
<td>52.01</td>
<td>51.59</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>46.24</td>
<td>51.15</td>
<td>50.48 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic sub-index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Housing affordability ratio</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-2.12 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Real rental index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.52 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Index of current economic conditions</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.50 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Real wage index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.81 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Public expenditure on education as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.83 Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Age participation rate for first-degree programmes in local universities (in percent)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.42 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic sub-index</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>35.02</td>
<td>34.27</td>
<td>35.09</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>31.51</td>
<td>28.58</td>
<td>27.28 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental sub-index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Air index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.03 Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Water index</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.57* Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Noise index (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>7.16 Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Recycle rate of municipal solid waste</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>7.04* Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental sub-index</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>20.11</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>23.77</td>
<td>24.40</td>
<td>24.80 Better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality of Life index 100.00 104.20 105.78 108.34 108.93 108.78 104.43 101.52 104.13 102.56 Worse

Note 1: The higher the score, the better the performance of the indicator.
* Forecasted figure
# Provisional figure
Revised figure
Appendix 4: Line Charts of CUHK Hong Kong Quality of Life Index and Sub-indices

Note 1: The higher the rate, the better performance for the indicator

**Social sub-index**

- Year: 2002, Social index: 47.62
- Year: 2003, Social index: 49.44
- Year: 2004, Social index: 50.65
- Year: 2005, Social index: 52.01
- Year: 2006, Social index: 51.59
- Year: 2007, Social index: 52
- Year: 2008, Social index: 50.5
- Year: 2009, Social index: 46.24
- Year: 2010, Social index: 51.15
- Year: 2011, Social index: 50.48

**Economic sub-index**

- Year: 2002, Economic index: 33.32
- Year: 2003, Economic index: 34.3
- Year: 2004, Economic index: 35.02
- Year: 2005, Economic index: 34.27
- Year: 2006, Economic index: 35.09
- Year: 2007, Economic index: 34.31
- Year: 2009, Economic index: 30.75
- Year: 2011, Economic index: 27.28
Environmental sub-index

- 20.11
- 23.77
- 22.25
- 22.06
- 20.46
- 24.4
- 23.18
- 22.47
- 24.8

Year:
- 2002: 19.04
- 2003: 20.46
- 2004: 20.11
- 2005: 22.06
- 2006: 22.25
- 2007: 22.47
- 2008: 23.18
- 2009: 24.4
- 2010: 24.8
- 2011: 24.8

QoL Index

- 100
- 105
- 110

Year:
- 2002: 100
- 2003: 104.2
- 2004: 105.78
- 2005: 108.34
- 2006: 108.93
- 2007: 108.78
- 2008: 104.43
- 2009: 101.52
- 2010: 104.13
- 2011: 102.56
Appendix 5

2010-2011 Quality of Life Indices
Worse Indices

Quality of Life Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>2010 Value</th>
<th>2011 Value</th>
<th>% Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification rate of notifiable infectious diseases (per 1000 population)</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>-1.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress index</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>-1.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General life satisfaction index</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>-0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press freedom index</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>-0.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government performance index</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing affordability ratio</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>-14.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real rental index</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-14.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of current economic conditions</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>-2.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air index</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>-2.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) % decrease between 10 and 11
2010-2011 Quality of Life Indices

Better Indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Life Index</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standardized mortality rate (per 1000 standard population) (4.85%)</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life expectancy at birth (in year) (0.62%)</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public expenditure on health as a proportion (in percent) of the GDP (4.38%)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press criticism index (3.29%)</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall crime rate (per 1000 population) (7.65%)</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate (7.65%)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real wage index (2.78%)</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age participation rate for first-degree programmes in UGC-funded local universities (in percent) (3.83%)</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water index (3.34%)</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise index (per 1000 population) (1.99%)</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle rate of municipal solid waste (3.38%)</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) % increase between 10 and 11