

## **Appendix E: Result of Views Collection Form**

**Part I – Enhancement of University Life**

**A. Needs for New Amenity Facilities**

1 What is your view to the following statements concerning the existing amenity facilities?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	The indoor recreation facilities are sufficient.	3.4%	33.1%	19.5%	39.0%	5.1%
(ii)	The outdoor recreation facilities are sufficient.	3.4%	32.2%	22.9%	37.3%	4.2%
(iii)	Canteens / restaurants are sufficient to meet the demand.	5.1%	35.6%	18.6%	30.5%	10.2%
(iv)	There should be more self-study areas.	19.5%	43.2%	22.9%	11.9%	2.5%
(v)	There should be more outdoor sitting areas for social gathering	26.3%	46.6%	22.0%	5.1%	0.0%
(vi)	There should be more areas for exhibition and performance.	22.9%	43.2%	24.6%	9.3%	0.0%
(vii)	More facilities like bookstores, coffee shops, tuck shops could be added in the campus for student's convenience.	42.4%	39.0%	13.6%	4.2%	0.8%

2 Please state any suggestions on infrastructure / facilities enhancement which will help enriching university life.

Number of people replied to this question = 37

Major Comments

- Increase outdoor activity space (such as large lawn area) for social gathering
- Setup convenience store inside the campus
- More study and activity rooms for student

**B. Enrichment of Campus Life**

1 What is your view to the following statements concerning about inter-college linkages?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Convenience pedestrian links among colleges are important	44.9%	40.7%	10.2%	2.5%	1.7%
(ii)	The existing pedestrian linkage among colleges is sufficient.	5.9%	34.7%	25.4%	25.4%	8.5%
(iii)	The existing paths linking colleges should be improved.	16.9%	44.9%	27.1%	9.3%	1.7%
(iv)	It is desirable to plan new colleges Morningside, SH Ho & Lee Woo Sing of CUHK next to existing colleges for better	11.9%	29.7%	33.9%	16.9%	7.6%

social connection.

(v)	It is desirable to plan new colleges Morningside, SH Ho & Lee Woo Sing of CUHK closer as a cluster.	8.5%	44.1%	32.2%	10.2%	5.1%
-----	---	------	-------	-------	-------	------

2 What is your view to the following criteria for selecting sites for the new under-graduate hostels?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Ease of access	29.7%	51.7%	14.4%	4.2%	0.0%
(ii)	Relationship to surrounding	44.9%	43.2%	10.2%	1.7%	0.0%
(iii)	With good views	18.6%	47.5%	28.8%	4.2%	0.8%
(iv)	Far from traffic noise	23.7%	42.4%	24.6%	8.5%	0.8%

**C. Staff Residence**


1 What is your view to the following criteria for choosing location of new staff residence?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Close to student halls	1.7%	7.6%	44.1%	32.2%	14.4%
(ii)	Close to teaching / amenity facilities	2.5%	28.8%	45.8%	15.3%	7.6%
(iii)	With good views	3.4%	35.6%	47.5%	7.6%	5.9%
(iv)	With easy accessibility	4.2%	31.4%	50.8%	6.8%	6.8%
(v)	Far from traffic noise	11.9%	40.7%	40.7%	4.2%	2.5%

**D. Locations for Future Development**

1 What is your view to the following locations as new under-graduate hostels, post-graduate hostels and staff residence? (you may tick more than one for each site)

		Suitable for			Not suitable for any new buildings	No comments
		Under-graduate hostels	Post-graduate hostels	Staff residence		
(i)	Site A	31.3%	57.4%	27.0%	14.8%	8.7%
(ii)	Site B	64.9%	34.2%	28.9%	7.9%	11.4%
(iii)	Site C	67.8%	31.3%	22.6%	9.6%	14.8%
(iv)	Site D	23.5%	48.7%	63.5%	4.3%	13.9%
(v)	Site E	19.3%	46.5%	57.9%	7.0%	14.9%
(vi)	Site F	17.4%	40.0%	49.6%	18.3%	16.5%



**Part II – Pedestrian Friendly Campus**

**A. Pedestrian Access**

1 What is your view to the following statements concerning pedestrian access?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Promotion of walking could help relieve the demand of shuttle bus	26.5%	38.5%	12.0%	17.9%	5.1%
(ii)	The idea of exclusive pedestrian zone enhances road safety	16.2%	43.6%	25.6%	10.3%	4.3%
(iii)	Link bridges could be extended to reach more places	23.9%	41.0%	12.8%	13.7%	8.5%
(iv)	Pedestrian pathway could be covered with canopy instead of shading by trees	13.7%	18.8%	17.1%	26.5%	23.9%
(v)	Stairs could be replaced with escalators for climbing up hill in certain locations	22.2%	28.2%	11.1%	14.5%	23.9%
(vi)	Cycling path should be studied and developed for traffic alternative	20.5%	33.3%	21.4%	18.8%	6.0%

2 Do you think the followings are desirable means for pedestrian linkage?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Buildings to enhance public circulation (e.g. the express lifts in Mong Man Wai Building)	45.3%	45.3%	6.0%	1.7%	1.7%
(ii)	Escalators	17.1%	27.4%	18.8%	19.7%	17.1%
(iii)	Covered walkways	11.1%	32.5%	23.1%	26.5%	6.8%
(iv)	Cascading development	19.7%	50.4%	20.5%	7.7%	1.7%
(v)	Footbridges	12.8%	37.6%	23.9%	16.2%	9.4%
(vi)	Tunnels	12.1%	31.0%	22.4%	19.8%	14.7%

3 Which of the following aspects should be improved in regard to pedestrian walkways?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Lighting	33.3%	43.6%	17.9%	5.1%	0.0%
(ii)	Check-point sitting areas	10.3%	37.9%	36.2%	13.8%	1.7%
(iii)	Width of walkways	23.1%	41.9%	25.6%	6.8%	2.6%
(iv)	Safety measures (e.g. emergency call station)	18.8%	41.0%	33.3%	6.8%	0.0%

4 Please state any part(s) of the campus that should be improved in regard to pedestrian accessibility.

Number of people replied to this question = 19

Major Comments

- Improve the accessibility between Ho Sin-Hang Engineering Building and natural stream area (小橋流水) at Chung Chi Campus
- Improve the accessibility from New Asia College, United College and Shaw College to Central Campus
- Improve the lighting provision for the stairways from New Asia College / United College to Central Campus
- All floor tiles for outdoor footpath shall be non-slippery

- 5 Please state any part(s) of the campus could be applied with the idea of vehicular free zone(s) and the time restriction to be applied for that zone(s).

Number of people replied to this question = 9

Major Comments

- Area around University Station and along Pond Crescent
- Vehicular free zone is not necessary

**B. Vehicular Access**

- 1 What is your view to the following statements concerning vehicular access within the campus?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Most parts of the campus could be easily reached by vehicular means.	13.8%	57.8%	19.8%	8.6%	0.0%
(ii)	It is acceptable to park away from my destination by a several-minutes walk.	22.4%	50.0%	25.0%	2.6%	0.0%
(iii)	It is easy to find a place to park within the campus in school days or during holidays.	7.0%	26.1%	56.5%	7.8%	2.6%
(iv)	Private car access could be limited for better pedestrian environment	27.6%	34.5%	29.3%	4.3%	4.3%

- 2 What are your views towards the current school bus schedule and bus route?

Number of people replied to this question = 13

Major Comments

- Enhance existing services - including frequency of buses, extension of service hours and Increase bus services on holiday
- Review existing bus route, bus service shall be extended to all the areas of campus

**Part III - Conservation of Heritage**

**A. Identification of Built Heritage**

- 1 Which building(s) / place(s) in the following campus deserve holistic conservation (conserving a group of buildings / places as a whole)?

Number of people replied to this question = 39

Major Comments

- |       |                  |  |
|-------|------------------|--|
| (i)   | Central Campus   | The Mall and adjacent buildings (including University Library, Science Centre and University Logo, Institute of Chinese Studies, University Administration Building, the Beacon & the Forum)             |
| (ii)  | Chung Chi Campus | College Chapel, Chung Chi Tang, Ying Lin Tang, Hua Lien Tang, Ming Hua Tang, Weiyuan Lake, Lingnan Stadium & Sports field  |
| (iii) | New Asia Campus  | New Asia's Water Tower & the Statue of Confucius, New Asia Pavilion, New Asia Concourse, Ch'ien Mu Building, Humanities Building, Cheng Ming Building and the Plaza Area in front of Chi'en Mu Building. |

- (iv) Shaw Campus --
- (v) United Campus United College Water Tower, Adam Schall Residence and Lawn area in front of the building, Lawn area in front of Library
- (vi) Other Areas University Entrances at Tai Po Road

2 What is your view to the following categories for identification of campus heritage?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Buildings with long history	49.1%	37.1%	12.1%	0.9%	0.9%
(ii)	Buildings / places contributing to University image / identity	64.7%	30.2%	4.3%	0.9%	0.0%
(iii)	Buildings / places acquire memorial value	58.6%	35.3%	5.2%	0.9%	0.0%
(iv)	Buildings with special architectural style	46.6%	41.4%	9.5%	2.6%	0.0%

3 Please state the name(s) of building(s) / place(s) that you think belongs to the following categories:

- (i) Buildings with long history College Chapel, Water Tower, University Library , New Asia Concourse, Science Centre and University Logo, The Mall, Weiyuan Lake  
 Number of people replied to this question = 26
- (ii) Buildings / places contributing to University image / identity The University Entrances at Tai Po Road, The Mall, Science Centre and University Logo, Weiyuan Lake, University Library, Water Tower, New Asia Pavilion  
 Number of people replied to this question = 27
- (iii) Buildings / places acquired memorial value College Chapel, The University Entrances at Tai Po Road, The Mall, Weiyuan Lake, New Asia Concourse, Water Tower, New Asia Pavilion, Natural Stream (小橋流水)  
 Number of people replied to this question = 26
- (iv) Buildings with special architectural style College Chapel, Chung Chi Tang, The building cluster at Central Campus (the Mall and adjacent buildings), NA Pavilion, Adam Schall Residence, Water Tower  
 Number of people replied to this question = 23

**B. Methods of Conservation of Heritage**

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	What is your view to allow addition and/or alteration to part of the heritage buildings for adaptive reuse?	6.9%	41.4%	26.7%	20.7%	4.3%

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
2	What is your view to allow following parts of the heritage building to be affected during addition & alteration?					
(i)	Elevation	3.4%	22.4%	26.7%	32.8%	14.7%
(ii)	Interior space	11.2%	46.6%	32.8%	8.6%	0.9%
(iii)	Circulation (staircase, lift, corridor)	7.8%	56.9%	26.7%	7.8%	0.9%
(iv)	Nearby open spaces / landscape	7.8%	39.7%	23.3%	23.3%	6.0%

#### Part IV – Landscape Design

1 Which of the followings are most desirable improvements to existing open space? Please arrange your preference in descending order.

more grass areas > more trees > more sitting areas > easier to reach > better shelter > better lighting

2 Do you think there are sufficient sitting-out areas for social interaction in the following areas?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Central Campus	8.8%	39.5%	13.2%	32.5%	6.1%
(ii)	Chung Chi Campus	15.8%	39.5%	18.4%	22.8%	3.5%
(iii)	New Asia Campus	6.1%	35.1%	24.6%	28.9%	5.3%
(iv)	Shaw Campus	4.4%	12.3%	34.2%	36.0%	13.2%
(v)	United Campus	7.9%	43.9%	24.6%	20.2%	3.5%
(vi)	University Station area	1.8%	21.1%	20.2%	43.0%	14.0%

3 Do you think the followings should be improved to the existing landscape at the Mall?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	More trees and natural shading	38.3%	45.2%	12.2%	4.3%	0.0%
(ii)	More greenery	33.0%	46.1%	18.3%	2.6%	0.0%
(iii)	More sitting-out area for social gathering	17.4%	32.2%	40.0%	8.7%	1.7%

(iv) Upgrade existing pavers 15.8% 18.4% 35.1% 24.6% 6.1%

4 Please state any open space(s) / green area(s) which you do most appreciate:

Number of people replied to this question = 26

Major Comments

The Mall, New Asia Pavilion, Natural Stream (小橋流水), Weiyuan Lake, Lawn Areas in front of Adam Schall Residence & Wu Chung Multimedia Library

5 Please state any existing open spaces should be improved:

Number of people replied to this question = 15

Major Comments

The Mall, Open area and waterfall in front of Statue of Confucius, Weiyuan Lake

6 What is your view with the following statement concerning about natural trails?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Natural trails serve as an alternative means of transport between lessons	24.1%	53.4%	13.8%	6.9%	1.7%
(ii)	Natural trails provide a venue for leisure activities	14.7%	50.9%	20.7%	13.8%	0.0%
(iii)	The idea of natural trail could be further developed to cover more places	21.6%	55.2%	15.5%	7.8%	0.0%
(iv)	Natural trails should be placed to major open spaces / green area for social gathering	19.8%	50.0%	20.7%	9.5%	0.0%

**Part V – Environmental Sustainability**

**A. Green Buildings and Features**

1 Which of following green features do you appreciate?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Roof garden	35.3%	44.8%	12.1%	5.2%	2.6%
(ii)	Sun shading device	13.9%	46.1%	31.3%	7.0%	1.7%
(iii)	Natural lighting	40.5%	53.4%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%
(iv)	Natural ventilation	43.1%	48.3%	6.9%	1.7%	0.0%
(v)	Natural shading by tress / slopes	32.8%	51.7%	12.1%	3.4%	0.0%

(vi)	South-facing orientation	15.7%	35.7%	46.1%	1.7%	0.9%
(vii)	Green terrace	33.6%	47.4%	18.1%	0.9%	0.0%

2 Please state any green buildings in campus that you appreciate.

Number of people replied to this question = 9

Major Comments

- Roof Garden on Lady Shaw Building

**B. Sustainable Campus Development**

1 What is your view to the following aspects to be considered for achieving a sustainable campus?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Harmony between development with natural settings	54.8%	41.7%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
(ii)	Pedestrian environment	21.7%	57.4%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%
(iii)	Visual comfort and compatibility with natural settings	46.1%	49.6%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
(iv)	Openness and greenery	43.5%	50.4%	5.2%	0.9%	0.0%
(v)	Density of buildings	44.3%	39.1%	12.2%	2.6%	1.7%

2 Please state any suggestions towards achieving a sustainable campus development.

Number of people replied to this question = 6

Major Comments

- Consideration on building density and height in respect with adjacent environment
- ASSply energy saving design for new development

**Part VI – New Venues for Learning and Research**

**A. Locations for the New Teaching/Research Facilities**

1 What is your view to the following areas as potential sites for new teaching/research facilities?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Within Central Campus	18.1%	34.5%	20.7%	19.8%	6.9%
(ii)	Near University Station	21.6%	43.1%	19.8%	12.9%	2.6%
(iii)	Outskirt of the existing campus	19.1%	27.8%	23.5%	18.3%	11.3%

2 What is your view to the following locations as potential sites for new teaching/research facilities?

		Suitable for Suitable for teaching facility	Suitable for research facility	Not suitable for any new buildings	No comments
(i)	Site 1	51.8%	43.8%	10.7%	15.2%
(ii)	Site 2	54.1%	27.0%	22.5%	17.1%
(iii)	Site 3	60.2%	28.3%	16.8%	14.2%
(iv)	Site 4	57.0%	33.3%	16.7%	15.8%
(v)	Site 5	16.1%	68.8%	12.5%	14.3%




DIAGRAM 2

3 What is your view to the following considerations of selecting site for new teaching/ research buildings?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	Ease of access	45.7%	43.1%	9.5%	1.7%	0.0%
(ii)	Relationship to surrounding	32.8%	50.9%	13.8%	2.6%	0.0%
(iii)	With good views	13.0%	37.4%	31.3%	14.8%	3.5%
(iv)	Far from traffic noise	14.9%	41.2%	28.9%	13.2%	1.8%

4 What is your view to the following statements concerning the location of teaching / research facilities?

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
(i)	It is desirable to have more teaching facilities built in clusters.	18.4%	51.8%	24.6%	5.3%	0.0%
(ii)	It is desirable to have more research facilities built in clusters.	13.2%	48.2%	29.8%	7.0%	1.8%
(iii)	It is acceptable to have some teaching facilities built outside campus.	4.4%	15.8%	25.4%	37.7%	16.7%
(iv)	It is acceptable to have some research facilities built outside campus.	18.4%	49.1%	22.8%	5.3%	4.4%

- (v) It is acceptable to occupy existing open spaces for new buildings for teaching/ research facilities. 1.8% 38.6% 39.5% 14.0% 6.1%
- 5 Please state any needs for improvement works to the existing teaching / research facilities.  
 --

**Part VII – Personal Profile**

1	Student	Teaching Staff	Non-teaching Staff	Alumni	Others
	80.3%	6.8%	0.0%	12.0%	0.9%

- 2 I have attended the Stage 1 Stakeholder Engagement Activity = 12.0% (refer to question 3)  
 I have not attended the Stage 1 Stakeholder Engagement Activity. = 88.0%

- 3 I have attended:

Engagement Meetings on	20-Mar-08	= 3
	28-Mar-08	= 1
	29-Mar-08	= 1
	31-Mar-08	= 3
Engagement Workshop on	16-Apr-08	= 1
	5-Apr-08	= 9
	7-Apr-08	= 0
	8-Apr-08	= 8
Engagement Forum on	11-Apr-08	= 1

4. Number of View Collection Form:

Source	No. of Respondents
- Workshops	12
- Website	105
- Mail	1
<b>Total:</b>	<b>118</b>