# Fuzhou Negatives: The Phenomena of Word-formation and Phrasal-formation

## Szu-I Sylvia Yu

Abstract This paper mainly tackles the word-internal formation and word-external formation of each negative in Fuzhou dialect. Four negatives in Fuzhou dialect are discussed:  $\[med][iN^{55}],\[med][ma^{242}],\[med][mui^{242}].\[med][mui^{242}].\[med][Each of them displays unique features in phrasal constructions and encodes peculiar meanings in lexicalization. The key problem is to determine whether the negative in question is an independent word or an affix. If the negative is an independent word, the combination with other elements is formed on the level of phrases or syntax (word-external); however, if the negative is an affix, the combination is formed on the level of words (word-internal). This is a thorny problem because, up to now, there is no final conclusion for distinguishing these two formations in Chinese or in Chinese dialects and the opinions are widely divided among Chinese linguists, too.$ 

From the criteria set up by many scholars (e.g. Wang Li, Y. R. Chao, C. T. James Huang, and C. F. Lien), we sum up and derive three important criteria in this paper: (1) lexical Integrity, (2) grammatical category, and (3) compositionality. The three criteria should be considered as a whole as none of them is sufficient.

Keywords Fuzhou dialect, negative, word-formation, phrasal-formation, lexical integrity, compositionality

#### 1. Introduction

Generally, negatives are regarded as adverbs and belong to the closed class in most languages. They seldom occur in isolation, and are so-called "fixed-position morpheme<sup>1</sup>", being immovable, in sentences and phrases. Due to the grammatical restrictions, negatives are more like function words and they are bound morphemes in combination with other morphemes.

This paper deals with only the preliminary grammatical properties of Fuzhou negatives, and focuses on their formations and derivations. Four negatives in Fuzhou dialect are discussed: 唔 [ $iN^{55}$ ], 袂[ma<sup>242</sup>], 無[mo<sup>53</sup>], and 未[mui<sup>242</sup>]. Each of them displays unique features in phrasal constructions and encodes peculiar meanings in lexicalization. Close attention will be focused mainly on the morphological aspect of

these negatives to serve as the stepping-stone for probing the world of Fuzhou negatives.

Each example<sup>2</sup> in Fuzhou dialect in this paper is given in four forms: (i) Chinese characters, (ii) phonetic symbols, (iii) word-for-word translation in English, and (iv) free translation (paraphrase) in English.

#### 2. Word-Internal Formation vs. Word-External Formation

When investigating the morphology of negatives, the first issue to be dealt with would be the difference of an affixal negation and a phrasal negation. This issue is concerned with two respects of word formation: "word-internal (words) formation" and "word-external (phrases / syntax) formation".

Due to the uniqueness of Chinese language, opinions are widely divided regarding the distinction between word and phrase. The criteria for marking off the demarcation line between "word-internal formation" and "word-external formation" still do not meet the accepted opinions and final conclusion.

#### 2.1. Analyses in Early Stages

The first scholar who proposed the criteria for identifying "two-characters words" is Wang Li (王力) in 1943. The crucial criterion is "insertability" (Pan et al., 1993: 127-128). Wang argues that if a two-morpheme string can be separated by the insertion of other morphemes and still interpretable after insertion, it is not a compound. For example, 說話 (shuo<sup>1</sup> hua<sup>4</sup>) ("to talk") is interpretable when it is separated by  $\pm$  (da<sup>4</sup>)("big"), as in 說大話 (shuo<sup>1</sup> da<sup>4</sup> hua<sup>4</sup>) ("to talk big"). On the contrary, a compound is recognized if the insertion causes the interpretation to be unaccountable, as exemplified by 故意 (gu<sup>4</sup> yi<sup>4</sup>) ("deliberately"). In 1975, Lu Zhiwei (陸志韋) also proposed the idea of "extensibility" as a theoretical extension of Wang's "insertability" (Lu 1975: 4-8).

#### 2.2. Y. R. Chao's Criteria

To identify words, Chao proposes five criteria (Chao 1985: 302-304). If one or more of the criteria are met, the item is a word or compound:

- a. Part of the item is a bound form.
- b. Part of it is neutral-toned.
- c. The meaning of the whole is not compositional of its parts.
- d. The internal structure is exocentric.
- e. The parts are inseparable from each other.

The third and the fifth criteria are more controversial. Huang (1984: 63) claims that the third criterion must be excluded because many idiomatic phrases are not regarded as words though they are non-compositional in meaning, as shown in (1):

(l) 掛羊頭, 賣狗肉

gua<sup>4</sup> yang<sup>2</sup>-tou<sup>2</sup>, mai<sup>4</sup> gou<sup>3</sup>-rou<sup>4</sup>

Hang sheep head sell dog meat

"To try to palm off something inferior to what it purports to be."

Criterion (e) may bring about a paradoxical situation in some items, such as 慷慨 [kang<sup>1</sup> kai<sup>3</sup>] ("generous") (Chao 1985: 433). 慷慨 is a compound and inseparable from each other in compliance with the criterion. However, in (2), 慷慨 is a phrase, and can be separated by other elements. In this regard, criterion (e) is not always a viable one for deciding "words".

(2) 慷他人之慨

kang<sup>1</sup> ta<sup>1</sup> ren<sup>2</sup> zhi<sup>1</sup> kai<sup>3</sup>

"He is gen- with other people's -erous."

Criterion (d) is relatively reliable: words usually involve an exocentric construction. In Southern Min Dialect, the inner structure of  $\overline{\Phi} \mp [k^han ts^hiu]$  is [V+N]. The verb is the head of the construction, and its meaning is transparent. However, if it is given an idiomatic interpretation, viz., "(one's) wife", the expression is a noun, and its inner structure is exocentric. In this regard,  $\overline{\Phi} \mp$  is a compound (Lien 2000: 63).

#### 2.3. C.T. James Huang's Criteria

One of the criteria that Huang (1984: 60) proposes for determining "Chinese words" or "Compounds" is "Lexical Integrity Hypothesis":

The Lexical Integrity Hypothesis:

"No phrase-level rule may affect a proper subpart of a word...natural language typically exhibit several "autonomous" levels of structure, autonomous in the sense that the internal structure of one level is often inaccessible to the rules that apply at another level, or that rules applying at one level are often blind to the internal structure of another level." (Huang 1984: 60)

It means that the rule that applies to the level of "words or lexicons" is inaccessible to the rule that applies in the level of "phrases or syntax". For example, (3) would not be reduced to (3') because  $\chi \equiv$  [huo<sup>3</sup>-che<sup>1</sup>] ("train") is a lexical item, in which its component is immune from a syntactic rule.

- (3) 火車跟汽車
  - huo<sup>3</sup>-che<sup>1</sup> gen<sup>1</sup> qi<sup>4</sup>-che<sup>1</sup>
  - Fire-car and gas-car

"Train and automobile"

(3')\* 火跟汽車

huo<sup>3</sup> gen<sup>1</sup> qi<sup>4</sup>-che<sup>1</sup>

Fire and gas car

As Huang applies the criterion extensively to the discussion of Chinese Compounds, paradox occurs. The status of a word and a phrase both will do for some compound-like items, like 擔心  $[dan^1 xin^1]$  ("to worry") (Huang 1984: 64). 擔心 is a VO-compound, which is followed by one constituent 這件事 [zhe<sup>4</sup> jian<sup>4</sup> shi<sup>4</sup>] ("this matter"), but in (4-1) through (4-4), 擔心 is separated by either the insertion or topicalization of the object 心  $[xin^1]$  ("heart"). Based on the "Lexical Integrity Hypothesis", 擔心 is a phrase, or more exactly an idiomatic phrase.

(4) 他很擔心這件事

ta<sup>1</sup> hen<sup>3</sup> dan<sup>1</sup>-xin<sup>1</sup> zhe<sup>4</sup> jian<sup>4</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> He very worry this matter "He is very worried about this matter." (4-1) 他擔什麼心? Ta<sup>1</sup> dan<sup>1</sup> shen<sup>2</sup>me xin<sup>1</sup> He carry what heart "What was he worried about?" (4-2) 他擔了三年的心  $Ta^{1} dan^{1}$ -le san^{1} nian^{2} de xin^{1} He carried LE three year DE heart "He has worried for three years." (4-3) 心. 我一點都不擔 Xin<sup>1</sup>, wo<sup>3</sup> vi<sup>4</sup> dian<sup>3</sup> dou<sup>1</sup> bu<sup>4</sup> dan<sup>1</sup> Heart, I a bit all not carry "Worried, I am not at all" (4-4) 心,我想他是會擔的 Xin<sup>1</sup>, wo<sup>3</sup> xiang<sup>3</sup> ta<sup>1</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> hui<sup>4</sup> dan<sup>1</sup> de Heart, I think he be will carry DE "Worry, I think he will."

Huang argues that all items of this kind belong to "phrases" in lexicon. While in sentence-final position, the phrase remains; while in sentence-medial position, followed by another object, the phrase would undergo a process of "lexicalization", and turns into a "word". The rule of lexicalization is only optional. Its application is required for saving the "Phrase Structure Condition". Those phrases are often "idiom phrases", and only under specific circumstances can they become words.

#### 2.4. A sum-up

All the criteria examined above boil down to two essential criteria to determine the difference between words and phrases: (A) the Lexical Integrity, and (B) the Grammatical Category in addition to an auxiliary criterion of (C) the Compositionality, defined as follows. Based on the criteria (A) (B) and (C), we will establish the demarcation line between "affixal negations (words)" and "phrasal negations (phrases)".

(A) <u>Lexical Integrity</u>: Phrase-level rules may not affect a proper subpart of a word. The rules that apply onto a word are often inaccessible to rules that apply on the level of phrases. Both the form and the meaning are integral.

(B) <u>Grammatical Category</u>: Word-externally, the grammatical category of the formation is consistent with the one of its root or head, namely "endocentricity". On the other hand, word-internally, the grammatical category of the derivation is changed (exocentricity).

(C) <u>Compositionality</u>: When the formation is word-internally, the word meaning is mostly non-compositional. In other words, the compositionality is the nature when the formation is

word-externally.

For example,  $\overline{\Lambda}$  ff (bu<sup>4</sup> guan<sup>3</sup>) ("despite; disregard") in Mandarin is formed word-internally and functions as conjunction, as in (5). However,  $\overline{\Lambda}$  ff (bu<sup>4</sup> guan<sup>3</sup>) is formed word-externally and functions as a verb phrase, as in (6).

(5) 不管你去不去, ...

 $bu^4$  guan<sup>3</sup> ni<sup>3</sup> qu<sup>4</sup> bu<sup>2</sup> qu<sup>4</sup>, ...

Not control you go not go, ...

"Whether you would go or not, ..."

(6) 他上班經常遲到, 我們不能不管.

Ta<sup>1</sup> shang<sup>4</sup> ban<sup>1</sup> jing<sup>1</sup> chang<sup>2</sup> chi<sup>2</sup> dao<sup>4</sup>, wo<sup>3</sup> men<sup>2</sup> bu<sup>4</sup> neng<sup>2</sup> bu<sup>4</sup> guan<sup>3</sup>. He go to work usually late, we cannot not manage "We cannot disregard his coming late to work so often."

#### 3. Affixal Negation vs. Phrasal Negation

In its literal sense, "affixal negation" means the negative formation within the domain of words, and the negative is regarded as an affix; in other words, it is a word-internal formation. "Phrasal negation" means the negative formation among words is a word-external formation. This differentiation is crucial for our analysis.

Several morphological properties related to "affixal negation" of Fuzhou negatives to be discussed in the following sections include the "syllable number of roots, the productivity of the formation and the endocentricity (or exocentricity) of the derivation, and the compositionality of output meaning".

### 3.1. 唔 [iN<sup>55</sup>]

3.1.1. Assimilated Variations

唔 is a productive negative adverb and never used in isolation. 唔 constructs its sandhi forms under the influence of the onset of the following morpheme, and meanwhile, the sandhi form modifies the following onset, as exemplified in (7) (8) and (9):

- (7) 唔 /iN<sup>55</sup>/+ 使 /sai<sup>33</sup>/=[in<sup>53</sup> nai<sup>33</sup>]=[n<sup>53</sup> nai<sup>33</sup>] ("do not have to")
- (8) 唔 / $iN^{55}$ /+ 拍 / $pa^{242}$ /= [ $im^{53} pa^{242}$ ] = [ $m^{53} ma^{242}$ ] ("do not want to beat")
- (9) 唔 / $N^{55}$ /+ 去 / $k^h o^{31}$ /=[ $iN^{53} No^{31}$ ]=[ $N^{53} No^{31}$ ] ("do not want to go")

The coda of the isolation form of  $#/1N^{55}/$  is regressively assimilated according to the articulate features of the onsets (i.e. labial, alveolar, velar) of the following syllables, and turns into nasals n, m, or N. The nasality of # then progressively assimilates the onset of the next syllable in question. The phenomenon is "mutual assimilation", and can be rendered by two following phonological rules:

Rule One: Regressive assimilation (homorganic assimilation)

 $N \rightarrow [\alpha \text{ place}] / \__\# [\alpha \text{ place}]$ 

(α is a variable for indicating the place of articulator, and # indicates the word boundary.[N] would be homorganic with the segment that follows.)

Rule Two: Progressive assimilation

 $[-nasal] \rightarrow [+nasal] / [+nasal] #$ 

(The non-nasal onset will acquire nasality when it follows a nasal segment.)

Note that the vowel in [iN] will be left out, especially in allegro speech. After assimilation, [iN] will drop its vowel and become [N], [n] and [m], like the forms after the second equal sign in example (7)(8)(9). [N], [n] and [m] are allomorphs each of which is conditioned by its immediate environment.

In (9) 唔去 is a phrasal formation. Tone sandhi still takes place and crosses the word boundary. In this regard, the phonological condition for tone sandhi within words is not fitting for distinguishing words and phrases in Fuzhou dialect. In Lien's discussion of morphological issues (2000: 63), he also mentions that the criteria of phonology (e.g. stress, sandhi, and phonetic modification) are relatively restricted in the range of its use.

3.1.2. Words or Phrases

In this subsection, we look into the distinction between word-formation and phrasal-formation of 唔 to capture the different situations for these two kinds of formation, and see if the negative have any effect on the formations.

唔 means for the most part, but not necessarily, the subjective unwillingness of doing something. Being an adverb, 唔 typically takes verbs or verb phrases as heads. The heads can have more than one morpheme, as in (10):

(10) 今旦我<u>唔去</u>學校 kiN<sup>53</sup> naN<sup>31</sup> Nuai<sup>33</sup> N<sup>53</sup> No<sup>31</sup> au<sup>31</sup> hau<sup>242</sup>

Today I not go school

"I do not want to go to school today."

唔 also forms a complex negatives with certain morphemes, which are used as a single unit. For example, (11) 唔使 and (12) 唔通 are negative units and take another verb or verb phrase as the complement.

(11) 汝<u>唔使</u>去

ny<sup>33</sup> n<sup>31</sup> nai<sup>33</sup> k<sup>h</sup>o<sup>53</sup>

You not use go

"You do not have to go."

(12) 汝<u>唔通</u>這款想

 $ny^{33} n^{53} nOyN^{33} tsu^{242} uaN^{33} suoN^{33}$ 

You not allowed this way think

"You should not think this way."

In the phrasal formation,  $\mathbb{H}$  combines with either verbs or verb phrases, as in (13) to (14), or modal verbs, as in (15) to (16), to indicate "the denial of intention" or the "prohibition". The combination of  $\mathbb{H}$  with common verbs is infinite; however, the limited number of modal verbs would set bounds to the productivity of the combination with modals. The grammatical categories of the negative outputs are

consistent with those of their original heads<sup>3</sup>, namely the verb, and their meanings are compositional. These prove that the formation is phrasal.

(13) 伊<u>唔聽</u>我講

 $i^{44} n^{53} niaN^{31} Nuai^{33} kuoN^{33}$ 

He not listen I speak

"He will not listen to me."

(14) 伊<u>唔是</u>學生

i<sup>44</sup> n<sup>53</sup> nei<sup>213</sup> ho<sup>242</sup> seiN<sup>33</sup>

He not be student

"He was not a student."

(15) 我唔要伊送其乇 Nuai<sup>33</sup> n<sup>31</sup> ni<sup>53</sup> i<sup>44</sup> sOvN<sup>31</sup> e<sup>31</sup> no<sup>24</sup>

I not want he send DE things

"I did not want the things that he gave."

(16) 若無必要, 伊絕對<u>唔肯</u>來

na<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> pi<sup>53</sup> iu<sup>31</sup>, i<sup>44</sup> tsO<sup>31</sup> tO<sup>31</sup> N<sup>53</sup> NiN<sup>33</sup> ni<sup>53</sup>

If no necessity, he absolutely not willing to come

"If it was unnecessary, he was absolutely unwilling to come."

On the other hand, in the "affixal formation", the situation is more complicated. In (17) to (19), the structure underlined is [Neg. + be-V + N]:

```
(17) 這儂<u>唔是乇</u>, 汝著注意
tsia<sup>31</sup> nOyN<sup>53</sup> n<sup>31</sup> ni<sup>53</sup> no<sup>23</sup>, ny<sup>33</sup> tuo<sup>31</sup> tsy<sup>33</sup> ei<sup>214</sup>
This guy not be things, you must pay attention
"This guy is villainous. You must watch out."
```

(18) 這隻儂野唔是胚

tsi<sup>31</sup> ie<sup>242</sup> nOvN<sup>53</sup> ia<sup>31</sup> n<sup>31</sup> ni<sup>44</sup> p<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>44</sup>

This CL guy really not be strains

"This guy is really loathsome in personality."

(19) 搶來搶去, 真<u>唔是款</u>

 $ts^{h}uoN^{33}ni^{53}ts^{h}uoN^{33}No^{31}$ ,  $tsiN^{31}n^{31}ni^{53}k^{h}uaN^{33}$ 

rob come rob go, truly not be patterns

"To grab at each other is truly ill mannered."

There are two ways to cope with examples like (17) to (19). One is to view the combinations as [唔 是] and a monosyllabic noun, and the formation is word-internal. The three criteria, "Lexical Integrity", "Grammatical category", and "Compositionality" support such an analysis.

First, in terms of "Lexical Integrity", a Chinese noun can be modified by a numeral and a classifier, as in 蜀匹貨 [so<sup>24</sup> p<sup>h</sup>ei<sup>24</sup> huo<sup>31</sup>] ("a shipment of cargo") and 蜀張面 [so<sup>24</sup> t<sup>h</sup>uoN<sup>33</sup> meiN<sup>213</sup>] ("a face<sup>4</sup>").

However, no constituent can be inserted between 唔是 and N. Thus, [唔是+N] is a noun rather than a phrase, as exemplified in (20) and (21).

(20) \*唔是[蜀張]面

 n<sup>53</sup> nei<sup>242</sup> so<sup>24</sup> t<sup>h</sup>uoN<sup>33</sup> meiN<sup>213</sup>
 Not be one sheet face

 (21) \*唔是[蜀大批]貨

 n<sup>53</sup> nei<sup>242</sup> so<sup>24</sup> tuai<sup>53</sup> Bei<sup>242</sup> huo<sup>31</sup>

Not be one big shipment cargo

Second, despite the ostensible VP structure, 唔是乇 ("villainous") is used as an adjective to describe a person with disgusting characters for it can be modified by degree adverbs, like 真[tsiN<sup>33</sup>]. The output is an exocentric formation; hence, it is a word. Note that the correspondent expression in Mandarin 不是東 酉 [Bu<sup>2</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> dong<sup>1</sup> xi<sup>1</sup>] is also an adjective though 東西 is a noun.

Third, the meaning of the output cannot be composed literally. 唔是毛 ("villainous") is not the composite of 唔是("be not") and 毛("things"). The non-compositionality of the derivative meaning is also a proof.

Though non-compositionality is not always a reliable condition for distinguishing words and phrases, armed with "Lexical integrity" and "Change of grammatical category", we can determine [唔是 + N] to be formed word-internally.

Another way to cope with these examples is to treat 唔 as an affix, and the formation would be parsed as [唔+是N]. However, the problem is that the positive expression like [是N] is absent in this dialect. 不 是東西 [bu shi dong xi] in Mandarin is just the same. These is no usage like \*是東西 [shi dong xi]<sup>5</sup>

Given the existence of bound roots, as in "edible", "malleable" and "potable" in English, it would be justifiable alternatively to parse [唔是 N] as [唔+是 N] where the root [是 N] is bound. The whole [唔+是 N] is a word-internal formation.

#### 3.2. 無 [mo<sup>53</sup>]

3.2.1. Grammatical Functions

 $m^{6}$ , a portmanteau word, denies the occurrence of an event or a state, and corresponds to 沒有 (mei you) ("not have") in Mandarin Chinese. It has dual grammatical categories, viz., verb and adverb.

# as a verb is followed by nouns and adjectives, and denotes the non-possessiveness and non-existence, as in (22) and (23).

```
(22) 我無行李
Nuai<sup>33</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> xiN<sup>33</sup> ni<sup>33</sup>
I no luggage
"I have no luggage."
(23) 今晡<u>無毛</u>食
kiN<sup>33</sup> muo<sup>33</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> no<sup>24</sup> sie<sup>53</sup>
Tonight no things eat
```

"There is nothing to eat tonight."

When functioning as an adverb, it modifies verbs and adjectives to deny the occurrence of an event or a state, as in (24) and (25).

(24) 汝<u>無看見</u>伊 ny<sup>33</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> kaN<sup>53</sup> NieN<sup>31</sup> i<sup>33</sup> You not see him "you did not see him."
(25) 這張相片<u>無好看</u> tsi<sup>31</sup> luoN<sup>33</sup> nuoN<sup>53</sup> mieN<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> ho<sup>33</sup> aN<sup>31</sup>

This sheet photo not good look

"This photo was not beautiful."

無 also serves as a comparative marker placed in front of the standard or norm, as in (26) and (27). It also indicates a state that is inferior to or not as good as the qualification described in estimation and evaluation:

(26) 伊<u>無我懸</u> i<sup>44</sup> mo<sup>53</sup> Nuai<sup>33</sup> keiN<sup>53</sup> He not I high "He is not as tall as me."
(27) 伊<u>無彼滿瘦</u> i<sup>44</sup> mo<sup>53</sup> xi<sup>21</sup> maN<sup>31</sup> sOy<sup>33</sup> He no that thin "He is not that thin."

3.2.2. Words or Phrases

Generally, 無 is a versatile negative and its derivations are quite productive, especially in phrasal formation, as shown in previous examples. It goes with any verb, adjective and noun.

無 also has affixal derivation, and takes both monosyllabic roots, as in (28)(29) and disyllabic roots, as in (30)and (31). 無 is a prefix attached to a noun, e.g.心[siN<sup>44</sup>]("mind") and 衣食[i<sup>44</sup> li<sup>5</sup>]("auspicious"). The outputs are adjectives, and exocentric to the original nominal heads. The construction is a word, not a phrase.

(28) 若是<u>無心</u>, 事計就做袂好 na<sup>31</sup> li<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>33</sup> siN<sup>44</sup>, tai<sup>53</sup> tsie<sup>31</sup> tsiu<sup>53</sup> tso<sup>31</sup> me<sup>53</sup> ho<sup>33</sup> If be no heart, matter then do not well "If one is unmindful, then nothing would be done well."
(29) 這事做違無板去

(29) 這爭1從 通<u>無 似</u> 去

 $tsia^{53} tai^{242} tso^{31} au^{31} mo^{33} paN^{33} No^{31}$ 

The matter do arrive no pattern go

"The matter was done far away from what was normal."

(30) 我無心緒陪伊

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mo<sup>31</sup>  $liN^{31}$  nOy<sup>242</sup> p<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>242</sup> i<sup>44</sup>

I no the state of mind accompany him

"I am in no mood to keep him company."

(31) 過年講呆話真無衣食

 $guo^{242} nieN^{53} kuoN^{33} Nai^{31} ua^{242} tsiN^{31} mo^{31} i^{44} li^{5}$ 

Pass year speak bad words truly no auspiciousness

"It is not auspicious to say anything bad during the New Year's Day."

We know that "compositionality" is not always a reliable condition for determining the distinction between word and phrase. Here, though the formations in (28) through (31) are word-internal, the meaning is transparent and can be derived from the composite of the negative and the noun. 無 indicates the absence of the quality encoded in the noun.

However, some expressions, which are word-internally constructed, may be non-compositional, and need further understanding, as in (32) through (35):

(32) 我無儂面, 八其儂袂濟

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> nOyN<sup>31</sup> meiN<sup>21</sup>, pai<sup>24</sup> e<sup>31</sup> nOyN<sup>53</sup> me<sup>53</sup> sa<sup>242</sup>

I no people face, know people not many

"I am nobody, and know few people."

(33) 伊真<u>無後手</u>,離開也無關電光

i<sup>44</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> au<sup>53</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>iu<sup>33</sup>, nie<sup>242</sup> k<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>33</sup> ia<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> kuoN<sup>33</sup> nieN<sup>33</sup> NuoN<sup>33</sup> He truly no back hand, leave too not trun off electric light "Heedlessly, he did not turn off the light before leaving."

(34) 我野無頭神, 書袂記帶來

Nuai<sup>33</sup> ia<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> lau<sup>31</sup> liN<sup>53</sup>, tsy<sup>33</sup> me<sup>53</sup> kei<sup>213</sup> tai<sup>31</sup> ni<sup>53</sup>

I truly no head spirit, book forget bring come

"I was too forgetful to bring the book here."

(35) 伊規日無命做, 都無休息

 $i^{44}$  kuo $N^{33}$  ni<sup>53</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> mia $N^{242}$  tso<sup>31</sup>, tu<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> hiu<sup>53</sup> lei<sup>242</sup>

He whole day no life do, all no rest

"He is working desperately all day long, and does not take a rest."

Like the frozen (idiomatic) expression 無三不四[mo<sup>31</sup> saN<sup>33</sup> mu<sup>5</sup> sei<sup>213</sup>] ("indecent"), expressions in (32) to (35) have an idiomatic meaning, and cannot be composed literally. 無儂面 does not mean the non-possessiveness of human face. 無後手 does not mean the absence of a hand behind; it is a judgment on a thoughtless manner. 無頭神 does not simply mean the non-possessiveness of life. It is a modifier for describing a manner of acting.

3.3. 袂 [ma<sup>242</sup>]/[mE<sup>53</sup>]

3.3.1. Grammatical Functions

It is widely believed that 袂 is a portmanteau morpheme<sup>7</sup> obtained by fusing two morphemes, a negative 唔  $[iN^{53}]$  (not) and a modal verb 會 $[a^{242}] / [E^{53}]^8$  (able). 袂 corresponds with 不會 [bu huei]("cannot") in Mandarin. The character 袂 is a phonetic loan to encode the  $[ma^{242}]/[mE^{53}]$  in Fuzhounese. 袂 expresses the meaning of "unlikelihood, impossibility" and "incapable" of doing something, and it has a dual grammatical function: a modal auxiliary verb and an adverb.

The auxiliary verb 袂 combines with verbs to show impossibility and incapability of a state of affairs, as in (36) through (40).

(36) 伊這隻儂, 無請 袂來

i<sup>44</sup> tsi53 ie242 nOyN53, mo<sup>33</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>iaN<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>33</sup> li<sup>53</sup>

He this CL people, not invite not come

"He was such a person that he would not come if not invited."

(37) 才過蜀年, 衣裳就 袂穿得了

tsia<sup>31</sup> kuo<sup>31</sup> so<sup>24</sup> nieN<sup>53</sup>, i<sup>44</sup> nuoN<sup>242</sup> tsiu<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> sOyN<sup>242</sup> li<sup>31</sup> lau<sup>33</sup> Only pass one year, clothes then cannot wear Infix Asp. "The clothes became too small in just one year."

(38) 這件大衣過花, 我決穿

tsi31 yoN242 tuai33 i33 kuo33 hua33, Nuai33 me53 sOyN242

The CL big clothes too fancy, I not wear

"The coat was so loud that I would not wear it."

(39) 我 被開車

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> k<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>33</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>ia<sup>33</sup>

- I cannot drive car
- "I do not know how to drive."
- (40) 我<u>袂食</u>這麼濟乇

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>33</sup> sie<sup>53</sup> tsi<sup>31</sup> maN<sup>242</sup> sa<sup>242</sup> no<sup>24</sup>

I cannot eat such much things

"I cannot eat that much."

When referring to incapability, 袂 can be replaced by a more colloquial complex-negative 袂八  $[mE^{31} Bai^{213}/mE^{31} BE^{31}]$ ("do not know/do not"), as in (41).

(41) 我<u>袂八</u>開車

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> BE<sup>31</sup> k<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>33</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>ia<sup>33</sup>

I cannot know drive car

"I do not know how to drive."

The adverb  $\overleftarrow{R}$  goes with psych-verbs or adjectives, which indicate the change of state or show the absence of a quality, as in (42) through (45):

(42) 我真袂中意伊做其

Nuai<sup>33</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> tyN<sup>53</sup> ei<sup>213</sup> i<sup>44</sup> tso<sup>31</sup> e<sup>31</sup>

I truly not to one's liking he did

"What he did was really not to my liking."

(43) 汝這款做,伊真 社教喜

 $ny^{33} tsu^{242} uaN^{33} tso^{31}$ , i<sup>44</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> xuaN<sup>53</sup> xi<sup>33</sup>

You this way did, he really not delighted

"He was really unhappy about your way of doing it."

(44) 有病晤去看醫生, 實在是 袂好

 $u^{31}BaN^{242}N^{53}No^{31}aN31i^{44}leiN^{44}$ , si<sup>31</sup> tsai<sup>242</sup> si<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> xo<sup>33</sup>

Have illness not go see doctor, really be not good

"It was really bad for not going to see a doctor when ailing."

(45) 桌面怎樣拭都 袂清氣

 $to^{53} meiN^{31} tsu-uoN^{242} ts^{h}ei^{24} tu^{31} mE^{31} ts^{h}iN^{53} k^{h}ei^{213}$ 

Table face how wipe all not neat and tidy

"The table remained dirty despite cleaning."

3.3.2. Words or Phrases

The phrasal formation of 袂 is relatively productive, and goes with almost any verb or adjective. Since the formation is phrasal, the grammatical categories of the outputs are endocentric. (47) 袂記 is a verbal phrase in which the head 記[kei<sup>213</sup>] ("remember") is a verb. (49) 袂平 is an adjectival phrase where the head 平 [paN<sup>53</sup>] ("even; level") is an adjective. 袂 as a functional category does not affect the grammatical category of the element it negates.

[袂 + verbal heads]

(46) 伊袂捨我離開

i<sup>44</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> lia<sup>33</sup> Nuai<sup>33</sup> lie<sup>242</sup> k<sup>h</sup>ui<sup>33</sup>

He cannot let go my leaving

"He was very reluctant to let me leave."

(47) 我<u>袂記</u>得關門

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> kei<sup>213</sup> li<sup>31</sup> kuoN<sup>33</sup> muoN<sup>53</sup>

I not remember close door

"I forgot to close the door."

(48) 我真袂中意伊做其

Nuai<sup>33</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> tyN<sup>53</sup> ei<sup>213</sup> i<sup>44</sup> tso<sup>31</sup> e<sup>31</sup>

I truly not to one's liking he did

"What he did was really not to my liking."

```
[袂 + adjectival heads]
```

```
(49) 地兜 袂平
```

ti<sup>33</sup> lau<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>33</sup> BaN<sup>53</sup> Floor not even "The floor was uneven."

(50) 彼隻老儂頭腦真袂清楚

hi<sup>53</sup> tsie<sup>242</sup> nau<sup>33</sup> nOyN<sup>53</sup> nau<sup>33</sup> no<sup>33</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>iN<sup>53</sup> nu<sup>33</sup> That CL old man head really not lucid (clear) "That old man was really benighted."

(51) 汝這款做, 伊真 裌歡喜

 $ny^{33} tsu^{242} uaN^{33} tso^{31}$ ,  $i^{44} tsiN^{31} mE^{31} xuaN^{53} xi^{33}$ 

You this way did, he really not delighted

"He was really unhappy about your doing this."

Basically, 袂 forms negative phrases; however, some cases would show that word-internal derivatives are possible. Examples are given below in pairs. [ [ 袂+VP] in (52-1)(53-1)(54-1) are phrases, whereas in (52-2)(53-2)(54-2) are word-internal.

[袂+VP] can be adjectives, and intensified by degree adverbs, 真[tsiN<sup>33</sup>]("very"). It is an exocentric construction, since the grammatical category of [袂+VP] formation is not the same as its original verbal head. [袂+VP] is therefore a word.

(52-1) 我 社前 伊這麼大其禮

Nuai<sup>33</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> tsai<sup>213</sup> i<sup>44</sup> tsi<sup>31</sup> maN<sup>242</sup> tuai<sup>242</sup> e<sup>31</sup> lE<sup>33</sup>

I cannot sustain he such big present

"I cannot sustain the big present that he gave to me."

```
(52-2) 汝對我這麼好,我真袂載
```

 $ny^{33} tO^{31} Nuai^{33} tsi^{31} maN^{242} xo^{33}$ , Nuai<sup>33</sup>  $tsiN^{31} mE^{53} tsai^{213}$ 

You treat me so good, I very cannot accept

"You treat me so well that I truly cannot accept it."

(53-1) 我<u>袂捨</u>汝這樣早離開

 $Nuai^{33} mE^{53} lia^{33} ny^{33} tsi^{31} maN^{242} tsa^{33} liE^{242} k^{4} ui^{33}$ 

I cannot let you so early leave

"I am reluctant to let you leave so early."

```
(53-2) 汝這樣早離開我<u>真袂捨</u>
```

 $ny^{33} tsi^{31} maN^{242} tsa^{33} liE^{242} k^{h}ui^{33} Nuai^{33} tsiN^{31} mE^{53} lia^{33}$ 

You so early leave I really cannot let go

"Your leaving so early truly makes me feel sad."

(54-1) 伊<u>袂做</u>這麼濟事計

 $i^{44} \text{ mE}^{53} \text{ tso}^{31} \text{ tsi}^{31} \text{ maN}^{242} \text{ sa}^{242} \text{ tai}^{53} \text{ tsiE}^{31}$ 

He cannot do so many matters

"He cannot shoulder so many matters."

(54-2) 伊<u>真袂做</u>,我見覺訓練固無夠

 $i^{44}$  tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> tso<sup>31</sup>, Nuai<sup>33</sup> kieN<sup>53</sup> NO<sup>24</sup> xu<sup>53</sup> lieN<sup>242</sup> ku<sup>31</sup> mo<sup>31</sup> kau<sup>53</sup> He really cannot do, I feel training still not enough

"He is really clumsy-handed. I feel that the training is still not enough."

Though the meaning of [袂+V/A] is for the most part compositional, the pragmatic interpretation would be presented in communication, and the further interpretation is sometimes needed. For example (55) carries an idiomatic sense. 袂八死 does not mean that "someone did not know the death / how to die". It describes a careless attitude of a person when he works, and the person disregards the consequence of his doing.  $\pi$  [si<sup>33</sup>]("die/death") pictures a serious consequence.

(55) 伊呆事做這麼濟真於八死

 $i^{44}$  Nai<sup>33</sup> lai<sup>242</sup> tso<sup>31</sup> tsi<sup>31</sup> maN<sup>242</sup> sa<sup>242</sup> tsiN<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>31</sup> Bei<sup>242</sup> si<sup>33</sup>

He evil thing did such many truly not know death

"He did so many evil things. He was really heedless of consequences."

#### 3.4. 未 [mui<sup>242</sup>]

3.4.1. Grammatical Functions

 $\pm$  is quite a literary negative, which is often seen in Ancient Chinese texts, and is preserved well in many modern Chinese dialects, such as Fuzhounese. ( $\pm$  is largely confined in frozen expressions like idioms in modern Chinese, too.)

Both 未 and its another form, 固未[ku<sup>31</sup> mui<sup>242</sup>], correspond to 還沒有 [hai mei you] ("not yet") in Mandarin Chinese, and can be used in isolation as an answer. 未 primarily indicates the non-occurrence of an event or a change of state that is expected.

As an adverb,  $\pm$  combines mainly with verbs, as in (56)(57) and adjectives (58)(59). Though it is more literary, the derivation is relatively productive in dialects.  $\pm$  goes with almost any verb and adjective.

(56) 書我未看

 $tsy^{33}$  Nuai<sup>33</sup> mui<sup>53</sup> k<sup>h</sup>aN<sup>31</sup>

Book I still not read

"I have not read the book yet."

(57) 厝<u>未建好</u>

$$ts^{h}uo^{31} mui^{53} k^{h}i^{33} xo^{32}$$

House still not build completely

"The house was still under construction."

(58) 這條瓜未熟, 固袂煮得

tsi<sup>31</sup> leu<sup>53</sup> kua<sup>44</sup> mui<sup>31</sup> sy<sup>53</sup>, ku<sup>31</sup> mE<sup>53</sup> tsy<sup>33</sup> li<sup>31</sup>

This CL. squash not yet ripe, still cannot cook particle

"The squash is unripe, so that it cannot be cooked yet."

(59) 伊固<u>未老,頭髮就已經白咯了</u>

 $i^{44}$  ku<sup>31</sup> mui<sup>53</sup> lau<sup>242</sup>, t<sup>h</sup>au<sup>31</sup> uo<sup>213</sup> tsiu<sup>31</sup> i<sup>213</sup> kiN<sup>44</sup> ma<sup>31</sup> o<sup>33</sup> lau<sup>33</sup>

He still not yet old, hair then already white perf. particle

"Though he is still young, he has already gone gray."

Based on the "Feature theory", all the grammatical categories can be decomposed into two syntactic features: [Verb] and [Noun] (Haegeman, 1994: 145-146). From the diagram below, both verbs and adjectives have the feature [+verb].

Verbs have features like [+verb][-noun];

Nouns have features like [-verb][+noun];

Adjectives have features like [+verb][+noun]; and

Prepositions have features like [-verb][-noun]. (Haegeman, 1994: 145-146)

Therefore, when  $\pm$  goes with adjectives, those adjectives should be capable of triggering some sort of state changing, like verbal elements, in order to tally with the semantic requirement of  $\pm$ . Since "the potential of the state changing" is the semantic prerequisite for the complements of  $\pm$ , I regard all the elements that follow  $\pm$  as verbal uniformly.

3.4.2. Words or Phrases

The formations of  $[\pm+V/A]$  are all phrases. The grammatical categories of the negative outputs are endocentric to their original heads, the verb or the adjective.  $\pm$  cannot be the head of its formations, and does not cause a change of the grammatical category. Moreover, the meaning of  $\pm$  formations is compositional, and it modifies the element that follows and denotes the expected events which does not happen, yielding an irrealis reading.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper deals with the general information of each negative. When talking about the "formation" in linguistics, the key problem is to set up the criteria for distinguishing the "word-internal" and the "word-external" formations. Serious efforts have been made to apply three basic criteria, through their status is still inconclusive, to distinguish the two types of formation. The three criteria (viz., lexical integrity, grammatical categories and compositionality) constitute the major concern in this paper, especially with respect to negative formation.

#### Notes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Fixed-position morpheme" is Tang's *dingwei yusu* (定位語素). It refers to a morpheme, which has a fixed position in sentences and phrases, and cannot be moved at liberty. (Tang 2000: 57-58)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The language data comes from the author, who is a native speaker.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, some [唔 + modal] are exocentric, e.g., adverb "唔音" [n<sup>53</sup> nie<sup>213</sup>] (not only).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Chinese, for the most part, nouns can be classified and then numbered. The fundamental structure is [numeral + classifier / measure word + noun]. The example 蜀張面 [so<sup>24</sup> t<sup>h</sup>uoN<sup>33</sup> meiN<sup>213</sup>] ("a / sheet / face") indicates that Chinese people associate cognitively the "face" with the "flat surface", which is the prototypical feature of a thing to collocate with the classifier 張 (the original meaning is to stretch out and form a plane). The classifier system is unique in Chinese, and reflects human thoughts and cultural concepts as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Professor Tsao Feng-fu (曹逢甫) has commented in the oral defense of my thesis that Mandarin phrase -是東西 can be used in the sentence like follows, and Professor Lien Chinfa (連金發) also reminds me that the positive form only occur in contrast

to its negative form.

我*是東西*不是東西, 不是由你決定的 (wo<sup>3</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> dong<sup>1</sup> xi<sup>1</sup> bu<sup>2</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> dong<sup>1</sup> xi<sup>1</sup>, bu<sup>2</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> you<sup>2</sup> ni<sup>3</sup> jue<sup>2</sup> ding<sup>4</sup> de) (I am thing not thing, not through you determine) (Whether or not I am somebody, it is not decided by you.) or 我*是東西*不是東西不用你管 (wo<sup>3</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> dong<sup>1</sup> xi<sup>1</sup> bu<sup>2</sup> shi<sup>4</sup> dong<sup>1</sup> xi<sup>1</sup> bu<sup>2</sup> yong<sup>4</sup> ni<sup>2</sup> guan<sup>3</sup>) (I am thing not be thing no need you bother)

(Whether or not I am somebody, it is not your business).

- <sup>6</sup> In Teng's analysis of Taiwanese Southern Min Negative (Teng 1992: 610), 無[mo<sup>24</sup>] is also a "di-morphemic" negative, a fusional form of a negative 唔[m<sup>31</sup>] and a modal verb 有[u<sup>33</sup>]. To Teng, 唔[m<sup>31</sup>] is the only mono-morphemic negative in Taiwanese Southern Min. Likewise, 無[mo<sup>33</sup>] in Fuzhounese can be regarded as a fusion of a negative 唔[iN<sup>53</sup>] and a modal verb 有[ou<sup>242</sup>], and perhaps 唔[iN<sup>53</sup>] is the only mono-morphemic negative in Fuzhounese, too.
- <sup>7</sup> It is so-called "bi-morphemic word" in Teng (1992: 610), and also has a formula: negative 唔[m<sup>31</sup>] + modal verb 會[E<sup>33</sup>] = 袂 [bE<sup>33</sup>].
- <sup>8</sup> According to the historical development, 會 is doubtful for representing the modal meaning of "capability" or "possibility" in many Chinese Dialects. After examining possible candidates in terms of the evolution of sound, meaning and form, several scholars have proposed that 解 [xie (with falling tone)] ("to disassemble") is the etymologically viable word. More studies on this issue in Taiwan Southern Min Dialect can be found in Mei (1999) and Yang (2001).

#### References

Chao, Yuen-ren. (趙元任) 1968. A grammar of spoken Chinese. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Haegeman, Liliane. 1994. Introduction to government & binding theory. 2rd edition.. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers Ltd.

- Huang, James C.-T. (黄正德) 1984. "Phrase structure, lexical integrity, and Chinese compounds". Journal of the Chinese Language Teacher Association, 19: 53-78.
- Lien, Chinfa (連金發) 1997. "Aspects of the evolution of *tit (得)* in Taiwan Southern Min." In Sun, Chao-fen (ed.) *Studies* on the history of Chinese syntax., 167-190. Journal of Chinese Linguistics Monograph Series No. 10.
- Lien, Chinfa (連金發) 2000. "Goucixue tansuo" (Exploring morphological issues). In Chinese Studies (Hanxue Yanjiu 漢學研 約 18: 61-78.
- Lu, Zhiwei (陸志韋). 1975. Hanyu de goucifa. Zhonghua shuju (中華書局).
- Mei, Tsu-lin. (梅祖麟) 1999. "Jige Taiwan minnanhua changyong xuci de laiyuan" (The origin of some function words in Taiwan Southern Min.) In Ting, Pang-hsin (ed.) Contemporary studies on the Min dialects, 1-41. Journal of Chinese Linguistics Monograph Series No. 14.
- Pan, Wenguo et al. (潘文國等) 1993. Hanyu de goucifa yanjiu (Studies of Chinese word-formation). Taipei: Student Book Co., Ltd.
- Tang, Ting-chi (湯廷池) 2000. "Hanyu de ci: ciyu de fanduan." Hanyu cifa lunji (Papers on Chinese morphology.) Taipei: Pyramid Press.
- Teng Shou-hsin. (鄧守信) 1992. "Diversification and unification of negation in Taiwanese". Symposium series of the Institute of History and Philology Academia Sinica No.2. Vol.1: 609-629.
- Yang, Hsiu-fang. (楊秀芳) 2001. "Cong hanyushi guandian kan 'xie' de yinyi he yufa xingzhi" (The forms and meaning of the word 「解」JIE: a historical perspective). Language and Linguistics 2.2: 261-297.

# 福州方言否定詞:詞的建構與詞組的建構

提要 本文針對福州話四個主要否定詞, 唔[iN<sup>55</sup>], 袂[ma<sup>242</sup>], 無[mo<sup>53</sup>], and 未[mui<sup>242</sup>], 個別探 討構詞現象, 包括"詞的建構 (word-formation)"及"詞組的建構 (phrasal-formation)"兩種。

首先區分"詞組的建構"與"詞的建構",主要差異在於區辨這四個否定詞在不同構型中是 "詞"還是"前綴"。如果該否定詞在整個構型中是獨立的"詞",則其構型便是在句子層次上組成, 稱"詞組的建構"即"詞外"組成;若該否定詞在構型中是"詞綴",則其構型是在詞內部組成,是 爲"詞的建構"。

不論研究漢語或漢語方言, 要區分是"詞的建構"還是"詞組的建構", 一直是個棘手的問題, 到目前, 可說沒有一個定論, 並且各語言學家意見也相當分歧, 無法統一。

本文由多位研究漢語與漢語方言的學者所採用的方法,(如:王力,趙元任,黃正徳,與連金發等),歸納三個重要的判別準則,爲本文主要研究依據:(1)詞彙不可分割性 (lexical integrity);(2) 語法範疇 (grammatical category);(3) 語意組合性 (compositionality)。此三個準則必須一併使用,單一準則無法發揮區辨效果。

關鍵詞 福州方言 否定詞 詞的建構 詞組的建構 詞彙不可分割性 語意組合性

(于嗣宜 台灣清華大學語言學研究所)